



Independent Limited Assurance Report to the Directors of Downer EDI Ltd

Conclusion

Based on the procedures performed, and the evidence obtained, for the year ended 30 June 2018:

- We are not aware of any material misstatements in the Sustainability Report with regards to Downer's application of the GRI Standards for defining report content: materiality, stakeholder inclusiveness, sustainability context and completeness; and
- We are not aware of any material misstatements in the Selected Sustainability Information, which has been prepared by Downer EDI Ltd, in accordance with the GRI Standards for defining report quality: balance, comparability, accuracy, timeliness, clarity and reliability.

Information subject to assurance

The Selected Sustainability Information, as presented in the Downer EDI Ltd (Downer) Sustainability Report 2018, comprised the following:

Selected Sustainability Information	Value assured	
	FY18 incl. Spotless	FY18 excl. Spotless
Direct emissions of greenhouse gases (scope 1) – kilotonnes of CO ₂ -e	334.7	281.4
Indirect emissions of greenhouse gases (scope 2) - kilotonnes of CO ₂ -e	86.5	42
Energy consumed - terajoules	5,753.6	4,653.7
Energy produced - terajoules	100,546.4	100,544.8
Number of significant environmental incidents	0	0
Number of environmental fines	4	4
Number of environmental prosecutions	0	0
Value of environmental fines or prosecutions - \$	2,720	2,720
Number of safety fines		0
Number of safety prosecutions		1
Value of safety fines or prosecutions - \$m		1.3
Total Recordable Injury Frequency Rate (TRIFR) – per million hours worked		3.27
Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate (LTIFR) – per million hours worked		0.78

Criteria used as the basis of reporting

The criteria used in relation to the Sustainability Report content are the GRI Standards for Defining Report Content and Quality and in relation to the Selected Sustainability Information the criteria are the GRI Standards and Downer's policies, procedures and methodologies.

Basis for conclusion

We conducted our work in accordance with Australian Standard on Assurance Engagements ASAE 3000 and ASAE 3410 (Standards). In accordance with the Standards we have:



- used our professional judgement to plan and perform the engagement to obtain limited assurance that the Selected Sustainability Information is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error;
- considered relevant internal controls when designing our assurance procedures, however we do not express a conclusion on their effectiveness; and
- ensured that the engagement team possess the appropriate knowledge, skills and professional competencies.

Summary of procedures performed

Our limited assurance conclusion is based on the evidence obtained from performing the following procedures:

- enquiries with relevant Downer staff, and review of selected documentation, to assess the appropriateness of Downer's process for the application of GRI Standards for Defining Report Content and Quality;
- enquiries with relevant Downer personnel to understand the internal controls, governance structure and reporting process of the Selected Sustainability Information;
- review and challenge of Downer's materiality assessment process;
- analytical procedures and sample testing over the Selected Sustainability Information;
- site visit to Downer New Zealand head office
- site visit to Rosehill asphalt plant
- site visit to Commodore mine
- walkthroughs of the Selected Sustainability Information to source documentation; and
- review of the Downer Sustainability Report 2018 in its entirety to ensure it is consistent with our assurance work.

How the Standard defines limited assurance and material misstatement

The procedures performed in a limited assurance engagement vary in nature and timing from, and are less in extent than for a reasonable assurance engagement. Consequently the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had a reasonable assurance engagement been performed. Misstatements, including omissions, within the Selected Sustainability Information are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence relevant decisions of the Directors of Downer.

Greenhouse gas reporting

Greenhouse gas quantification is subject to inherent uncertainty because of incomplete scientific knowledge used to determine emission factors and the values needed to determine emissions factors and the values needed to combine emissions of different gases.

Use of this Assurance Report

This report has been prepared for the Directors of Downer for the purpose of providing an assurance conclusion on the Selected Sustainability Information and may not be suitable for another purpose. We disclaim any assumption of responsibility for any reliance on this report, to any person other than the Directors of Downer, or for any other purpose than that for which it was prepared.

Management responsibility

Management are responsible for:

- determining that the criteria is appropriate to meet their needs;
- preparing and presenting the Selected Sustainability Information in accordance with the criteria;
- determination of Downer's GRI level of disclosures in accordance with the GRI Standards; and
- establishing internal controls that enable the preparation and presentation of the Selected Sustainability Information that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error; and maintaining integrity of the website.



Our responsibility

Our responsibility is to perform a limited assurance engagement in relation to the Selected Sustainability Information for the year ended 30 June 2018, and to issue an assurance report that includes our conclusion.

Our independence and quality control

We have complied with our independence and other relevant ethical requirements of the *Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants* issued by the Australian Professional and Ethical Standards Board, and complied with the applicable requirements of Australian Standard on Quality Control 1 to maintain a comprehensive system of quality control.

KPMG

KPMG

Melbourne

4 October 2018